Computer vision based on physiological aspects of human visual system

Maria Haase

Melanie Strauch

Workshop Selbstorganisation und Komplexität 25.-31.8.2007 Ameland, Niederlande

Human Vision vs. Computer Vision

Why is automated recognition such a difficult problem while humans perform visual tasks with ease?

Can computer vision benefit from efficient concepts

and optimal strategies of human visual system?

Human visual system

- □ is able to extract essential information of a scene in minimal time
- □ developed specific strategies for specific visual tasks

Focus on two aspects

- Inhomogeneous resolution of photoreceptors on retina
- □ Saccadic eye movements

Not considered here:

- ★ Pattern recognition based on associative memory (Haken, Fuchs, 1987)
- ✗ Synchronization of neural pulses (Haken, 2007)

Inhomogeneous resolution of photoreceptors on retina

Human eye



A retina with uniform resolution equal to highest fovea resolution would require ~ 10 000 more photoreceptors

Mapping of Receptive Fields in Visual Cortex

Simple cells in the primary visual cortex have receptive fields (RFs) which are orientation-selective and localized in space and frequency. These cells act as edge detectors.



Hubel & Wiesel, 1977 (cats and monkeys)

2D receptive field profiles

(measured for cats by Jones & Palmer, 1987)

2D Gabor wavelets (Daugman 1980)



Continuous Wavelet Transform

Decomposition of f(x) into elementary space-scale components :

Admissibility condition:
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \, dx = 0$$
 have "Wavelet"

Discrete Wavelet Transform

Discrete parameters a, b $a = a_0^m, b = n b_0 a_0^m$ $(a_0 > 1, b_0 > 0, m, n \in Z)$

Wavelet coefficients:

$$Wf_{m,n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \psi_{m,n} dx = \langle f, \psi_{m,n} \rangle$$
$$\psi_{m,n}(x) = a_0^{-m/2} \psi(a_0^{-m}x - nb_0)$$

Frames:

redundant discrete systems

Orthonormal bases of wavelets:

not redundant, not shift invariant

- Multiresolution analysis
- → Fast Wavelet Transform



Image analysis with 2D Gabor Wavelet Frames

Orientation-sensitive Gabor Wavelets can be used as simple models for information processing in the primary visual cortex.

The increase of density of photoreceptor cone cells corresponds to an increase of visual acuity as daylight stimuli come closer to the fovea. Eye movements (saccades) direct the fovea to image regions of interest.



Symmetric Gabor wavelet (real part)



in frequency plane

Frames:

Unique and stable reconstruction of f(x) if

$$A \|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{m, n} \left| \langle f, \psi_{m, n} \rangle \right|^{2} \leq B \|f\|^{2}$$

A = B = 1...orthonormal basisB/A = 1...tight frame (easy reconstruction) $B/A \approx 1...$ snug frame (suboctave scales)

Rotated Gabor Wavelet:

$$\Psi(x', y') = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x'-b_x}{a_x}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{y'-b_y}{a_y}\right)^2\right] \exp\left[i(\xi_0 x'+\eta_0 y')\right]$$

with $x' = R(\Theta)y'$

Parameter reduction according to neurophysiology:

$$a = a_x = 2a_y, \ \eta_0 = 0, \ \xi_0 \sim 2.5/c$$

12 discrete orientations

3 voices, B/A = 1.079 --> snug frame



a

two receptive field measurements Jones & Palmer, 1987



Original

Image Processing with Gabor wavelets:

a) Edge detection

















Wavelet coefficients $|Wf_m(b_x, b_y)|$ for different scales (average over directions)



Original

Image Processing with Gabor wavelets:

b) Orientation sensitivity



Variance of wavelet coefficients

90°

75°

60°

105°

120°

135°

150

165°

 $Wf_l(b_x, b_y)$

for different orientations (average over scales)

Texture analysis



Original

Field of attention



Variance of wavelet coefficients (average over directions)

 $|Wf_l(b_x, b_y)|$ for two orientations (average over scales)

θ=60°



θ=90°



Fault detection (Quality control)



How much information can transmitted by neurons : ~ 3-4 bits?

Can image with 8 bit resolution be represented by wavelet coefficients with 4 bit resolution ?



4 bits3 bits2 bitsReconstruction of image 'Lena' with quantized wavelet coeffcients

Due to redundancy a tight wavelet frame allows for a robust reconstruction

T.S.Lee: IEEE Trans. Patt.Anal. Mach.Intel.18 (1996)

Active Vision Strategy:

Saccadic eye movements

Human Scanpaths

Succession of saccadic eye movements compensate loss of visual acuity at periphery of retina, regions of interest are actively directed to fovea

realizations of a stochastic process



natural scene



2 typical scanpaths of the same person

Compensation of oculomotoric deficit by rapid head rotations



Recordings of head (left) and eye (right) movements during text reading

horizontal head / eye displacements

distribution of waiting times

distribution of jump lengths

Findlay et al. Nature 1997

Normal diffusion vs. Lévy flight



... only possible limit distributions for sums of normalized and centered i.i.d. random variables

$$\varphi(\omega) = \exp\left[-|\omega|^{\alpha}(1-i\beta\operatorname{sgn}(\omega)\phi)\right] \text{ where } \begin{cases} \phi = \tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad \alpha \neq 1\\ \phi = -\frac{2}{\pi}\log\omega, \quad \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega x}d\omega \quad \text{Tail approximation: } f(x) \propto (1+\beta)x^{-(1+\alpha)}$$



T. Geisel, D. Brockmann (1997)

Observations:

Scanpaths are realisations of a stochastic process in a random quenched saliency field

Fixations accumulate in visually salient regions

Short saccades are more frequent than long ones



Basic assumptions:

Saccade is random walk in quenched field of attention Visual system minimizes typical time needed to process a visual scene

Model:

$$p(x,t) = \int p(x|y)p(y, t-1)dy$$

pdf for fixating a location x at disrete time t in a visual field of size L

$$p(x|y) \propto s(x)f(|x-y|)...$$
 transition pdf for a gaze shift from x to y
probability of generating a saccade of magnitude $|x-y|$
quenched saliency field

Assumption: visual system attempts to reach stationary state as fast as possible

Two choices for f|x - y|

Gauss (normal diffusion):
$$f_G = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Cauchy (super-diffusive): $f_C = \frac{C}{\pi(C^2+x^2)}$

Calibration: both systems need the same relaxation time for constant $s(x) \rightarrow C = \frac{\pi \sigma^2}{L}$ Ensemble of natural scenes: $|\hat{s}(k)|^2 \propto k^{-2+\eta}$, $\mu \ll 1$

Result: Cauchy system (Lévy-stable process) needs less time for relaxation to stationary state

T. Geisel, D. Brockmann (1997)

What is the best strategy for maximizing encounter rate between searcher and target?

Biological systems: predator - prey, foraging, mating partner, flower-pollinator, parasite infection

Lévy flights are observed in many animal foraging movements like wandering albatrosses, bees, deer,....

Best strategy depends on

the distribution of targets, whether they are fixed or moving, differences of searcher and targets in size and velocity, destructive or nondestructive search

Increasing target to searcher size ratio: Brownian search strategy better

If target sites are sparse and can be revisited: Lévy flight index $\alpha_{opt} = 1$ can be visited only once: $\alpha_{opt} \rightarrow 0$

Towards computer vision adopting concepts of human visual system

Human visual system

Computer vision - image processing

Natural scene

Activation of photoreceptors on retina: overlapping multi-resolution receptive fields are mapped to primary visual cortex (synchronization of spike trains)

Brain dynamics, associative memory: selection of regions of attention according to specific visual task

Oculomotor system actively controls eye movements (saccades): scanpaths are Lévy flights

Image

2D orientation-sensitive redundant multi-scale Gabor wavelet frames can be used to model of receptive fields

Weighted (?) wavelet energy landscape $A(\mathbf{x}) | W f_{l,m}(\mathbf{x}) |$ serves as saliency field

Scan of the scene: Lévy walk in inhomogeneous quenched "wavelet energy field"



a) scene

b) 2 scanpaths

c) simulation: Lévy flight