

REGULAR R-MATRIX AND WEAK HOPF ALGEBRAS

Duplij S.A.

Kharkov National University, Kharkov 61077, Ukraine

Li Fang

*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University (Xixi Campus),
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310028, China*

Weak Hopf algebras as generalizations of Hopf algebras [1] were introduced in [2], where its characterizations and applications were also studied. A k -bialgebra $H = (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ with multiplication μ , unity η , counity ε , comultiplication Δ , is called a *weak Hopf algebra* if there exists $T \in \text{Hom}_k(H, H)$ such that

$$id * T * id = id, T * id * T = T$$

where T is called a *weak antipode* of H . One of its aims is to construct some singular solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE) [2].

We study here generalization of Hopf algebra $sl_q(2)$ by weakening the invertibility of the generator K , i.e. exchanging its invertibility $KK^{-1} = 1$ to the regularity $K\bar{K}K = K$. Here we investigate a weak Hopf algebra $wsl_q(2)$ and a J -weak Hopf algebra $vs_l_q(2)$ as generalizations of $sl_q(2)$ and non-trivial examples of weak Hopf algebras [2]. A quasi-braided weak Hopf algebra \bar{U}_q^w from $wsl_q(2)$ is constructed whose quasi- R -matrix is regular [3].

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q \neq \pm 1, 0$. The quantum enveloping algebra $U_q = U_q(sl_q(2))$ (see [6]) is generated by four variables (Chevalley generators) E, F, K, K^{-1} with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-1}K &= KK^{-1} = 1, \\ KEK^{-1} &= q^2E, \quad KFK^{-1} = q^{-2}F, \\ EF - FE &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we try to weaken the invertibility of K to regularity, as usually in semigroup theory [4] (see also [5] for higher regularity). It can be done in two different ways.

Define $U_q^w = wsl_q(2)$, which is called a *weak quantum algebra*, as the algebra generated by the four variables E_w, F_w, K_w, \bar{K}_w with the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_w \bar{K}_w &= \bar{K}_w K_w, \quad K_w \bar{K}_w K_w = K_w, \quad \bar{K}_w K_w \bar{K}_w = \bar{K}_w, \\ K_w E_w &= q^2 E_w K_w, \quad \bar{K}_w E_w = q^{-2} E_w \bar{K}_w, \\ K_w F_w &= q^{-2} F_w K_w, \quad \bar{K}_w F_w = q^2 F_w \bar{K}_w, \\ E_w F_w - F_w E_w &= \frac{K_w - \bar{K}_w}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $U_q^v = vs_l_q(2)$, which is called a *J-weak quantum algebra*, as the algebra generated by the four variables E_v, F_v, K_v, \bar{K}_v with the relations ($J_v = K_v \bar{K}_v$):

$$\begin{aligned} K_v \bar{K}_v &= \bar{K}_v K_v, \quad K_v \bar{K}_v K_v = K_v, \quad \bar{K}_v K_v \bar{K}_v = \bar{K}_v, \\ K_v E_v \bar{K}_v &= q^2 E_v, \quad K_v F_v \bar{K}_v = q^{-2} F_v, \quad E_v J_v F_v - F_v J_v E_v = \frac{K_v - \bar{K}_v}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $J_w = K_w \bar{K}_w$. List some useful properties of J_w which will be needed below. Firstly, $J_w^2 = J_w$, which means that J_w is a projector. For any variable X , define “ J -conjugation” as $X_{J_w} = J_w X J_w$, and

the corresponding mapping will be written as $\mathbf{e}_w(X) : X \rightarrow X_{J_w}$. Note that the mapping \mathbf{e}_w is idempotent.

Proposition (i) $wsl_q(2)/(J_w - 1) \cong sl_q(2)$; $vsl_q(2)/(J_v - 1) \cong sl_q(2)$; (ii) Quantum algebras $wsl_q(2)$ and $vsl_q(2)$ possess zero divisors, one of which is $(J_{w,v} - 1)$ which annihilates all generators.

Lemma (i) The idempotent J_w is in the center of $wsl_q(2)$; (ii) There are unique algebra automorphism ω_w and ω_v (called the weak Cartan automorphisms) of U_q^w and U_q^v respectively such that $\omega_{w,v}(K_{w,v}) = \overline{K}_{w,v}$, $\omega_{w,v}(\overline{K}_{w,v}) = K_{w,v}$, $\omega_{w,v}(E_{w,v}) = F_{w,v}$, $\omega_{w,v}(F_{w,v}) = E_{w,v}$.

Let R be an algebra over k and $R[t]$ be the free left R -module consisting of all polynomials of the form $P = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i$ with coefficients in R . If $a_n \neq 0$, define $\deg(P) = n$; say $\deg(0) = -\infty$. Let α be an algebra morphism of R . An α -derivation of R is a k -linear endomorphism δ of R such that $\delta(ab) = \alpha(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$ for all $a, b \in R$. It follows that $\delta(1) = 0$.

Theorem (i) Assume that $R[t]$ has an algebra structure such that the natural inclusion of R into $R[t]$ is a morphism of algebras and $\deg(PQ) \leq \deg(P) + \deg(Q)$ for any pair (P, Q) of elements of $R[t]$. Then there exists a unique injective algebra endomorphism α of R and a unique α -derivation δ of R such that $ta = \alpha(a)t + \delta(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

(ii) Conversely, given an algebra endomorphism α of R and an α -derivation δ of R , there exists a unique algebra structure on $R[t]$ such that the inclusion of R into $R[t]$ is an algebra morphism and $ta = \alpha(a)t + \delta(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

It is recognized as a generalization of Theorem I.7.1 in [6]. We call the algebra constructed from α and δ a weak Ore extension of R , denoted as $R_w[t, \alpha, \delta]$. Let R be an algebra, α be an algebra automorphism and δ be an α -derivation of R . If R is a left (resp. right) Noetherian, then so is the weak Ore extension $R_w[t, \alpha, \delta]$.

Theorem The algebra $wsl_q(2)$ is Noetherian with the basis

$$P_w = \{E_w^i F_w^j K_w^l, E_w^i F_w^j \overline{K}_w^m, E_w^i F_w^j J_w\}$$

where i, j, l are any non-negative integers, m is any positive integer.

The similar theorem can be obtained for $vsl_q(2)$ as well. Define $U_q^{w'}$ as the algebra generated by the five variables $E_w, F_w, K_w, \overline{K}_w, L_w$ with the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_w \overline{K}_w &= \overline{K}_w K_w, & K_w \overline{K}_w K_w &= K_w, & \overline{K}_w K_w \overline{K}_w &= \overline{K}_w, \\ K_w E_w &= q^2 E_w K_w, & \overline{K}_w E_w &= q^{-2} E_w \overline{K}_w, \\ K_w F_w &= q^{-2} F_w K_w, & \overline{K}_w F_w &= q^2 F_w \overline{K}_w, \\ [L_w, E_w] &= q(E_w K_w + \overline{K}_w E_w), & [L_w, F_w] &= -q^{-1}(F_w K_w + \overline{K}_w F_w), \\ E_w F_w - F_w E_w &= L_w, & (q - q^{-1})L_w &= (K_w - \overline{K}_w), \end{aligned}$$

Then U_q^w is isomorphic to the algebra $U_q^{w'}$ with φ_w satisfying $\varphi_w(E_w) = E_w$, $\varphi_w(F_w) = F_w$, $\varphi_w(K_w) = K_w$, $\varphi_w(\overline{K}_w) = \overline{K}_w$. And, the relationship between $U_q^{w'}$ and $U(sl(2))$ is that for $q = 1$, (i) the algebra isomorphism $U(sl(2)) \cong U_1^{w'}/(K_w - 1)$ holds; (ii) there exists an injective algebra morphism π from U_1^w to $U(sl(2))[K_w]/(K_w^3 - K_w)$ satisfying $\pi(E_w) = XK_w$, $\pi(F_w) = Y$, $\pi(K_w) = K_w$, $\pi(L) = HK_w$.

For $wsl_q(2)$, define the maps $\Delta_w : wsl_q(2) \rightarrow wsl_q(2) \otimes wsl_q(2)$, $\varepsilon_w : wsl_q(2) \rightarrow k$ and $T_w : wsl_q(2) \rightarrow wsl_q(2)$ satisfying respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_w(E_w) &= 1 \otimes E_w + E_w \otimes K_w, & \Delta(F_w) &= F_w \otimes 1 + \bar{K}_w \otimes F_w, \\
\Delta_w(K_w) &= K_w \otimes K_w, & \Delta_w(\bar{K}_w) &= \bar{K}_w \otimes \bar{K}_w, \\
\varepsilon_w(E_w) &= \varepsilon_w(F_w) = 0, & \varepsilon_w(K_w) &= \varepsilon_w(\bar{K}_w) = 1, \\
T_w(E_w) &= -E_w \bar{K}_w, & T_w(F_w) &= -K_w F_w, \\
T_w(K_w) &= \bar{K}_w, & T_w(\bar{K}_w) &= K_w
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition The relations above endow $wsl_q(2)$ with a bialgebra structure possessing a weak antipode T_w .

Proposition T_w^2 is an inner endomorphism of the algebra $wsl_q(2)$ satisfying $T_w^2(X) = K_w X \bar{K}_w$ for any $X \in wsl_q(2)$.

It can be shown that about the operations above, it is not possible $wsl_q(2)$ would possess an antipode S so as to become a Hopf algebra. Hence, $wsl_q(2)$ is an example for a non-commutative and non-cocommutative weak Hopf algebra which is *not a Hopf algebra*. For J -weak quantum algebra $vsl_q(2)$, a thorough analysis gives the following nontrivial definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_v(E_v) &= J_v \otimes J_v E_v J_v + J_v E_v J_v \otimes K_v, \\
\Delta_v(F_v) &= J_v F_v J_v \otimes J_v + \bar{K}_v \otimes J_v F_v J_v, \\
\Delta_v(K_v) &= K_v \otimes K_v, & \Delta_v(\bar{K}_v) &= \bar{K}_v \otimes \bar{K}_v, \\
\varepsilon_v(E_v) &= \varepsilon_v(F_v) = 0, & \varepsilon_v(K_v) &= \varepsilon_v(\bar{K}_v) = 1, \\
T_v(E_v) &= -J_v E_v \bar{K}_v, & T_v(F_v) &= -K_v F_v J_v, \\
T_v(K_v) &= \bar{K}_v, & T_v(\bar{K}_v) &= K_v
\end{aligned}$$

These relations endow $vsl_q(2)$ with a bialgebra structure with a J -weak antipode T_v , i.e. satisfying the regularity conditions

$$(\mathbf{e}_v *_v T_v *_v \mathbf{e}_v)(X) = \mathbf{e}_v(X), \quad (T_v *_v \mathbf{e}_v *_v T_v)(X) = T_v(X),$$

for any X in $vsl_q(2)$. From the difference between id and \mathbf{e}_v , $vsl_q(2)$ is not a weak Hopf algebra in the definition of [2]. So we will call it J -weak Hopf algebra and T_v a J -weak antipode. Remark the variable \mathbf{e}_v can be treated as $n=2$ example of the "tower identity" $e_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}$ introduced for semisupermanifolds or the "obstructor" $\mathbf{e}_X^{(n)}$ for general mappings, categories and Yang-Baxter equation in [5].

Now, we discuss the set $G(wsl_q(2))$ of all group-like elements of $wsl_q(2)$. The concept of *inverse monoid* can be found in [4].

Proposition The set of all group-like elements $G(wsl_q(2)) = \{J^{(ij)} = K_w^i \bar{K}_w^j : i, j \text{ run over all non-negative integers}\}$, which forms a regular monoid under the multiplication of $wsl_q(2)$.

For $vsl_q(2)$ we can get a similar statement.

Theorem $wsl_q(2)$ possesses an ideal W and a sub-algebra Y satisfying $wsl_q(2) = Y \oplus W$ and $W \cong sl_q(2)$ as Hopf algebras.

Let us assume here that q is a root of unity of order d in the field k where d is an odd integer and $d > 1$. Set $I = (E_w^d, F_w^d, K_w^d - J_w)$ the two-sided ideal of U_q^w and the algebra $\bar{U}_q^w = U_q^w / I$. I is also a coideal of U_q and $T_w(I) \subseteq I$. Then I is a weak Hopf ideal and \bar{U}_q^w has a unique weak Hopf algebra structure with the same operations of U_q^w .

We have $\overline{U}_q^w = U_q^w / I = Y / I \oplus W / I \cong Y / (E_w^d, F_w^d) \oplus \overline{U}_q$ where $\overline{U}_q = sl_q(2) / (E_w^d, F_w^d, K^d - 1)$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. The sub-algebra \widehat{B}_q of \overline{U}_q generated by $\{E_w^m K_w^n : 0 \leq m, n \leq d-1\}$ is a finite dimensional Hopf sub-algebra and \overline{U}_q is a braided Hopf algebra as a quotient of the quantum double of \widehat{B}_q [6]. The R -matrix of \overline{U}_q is

$$\widehat{R} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{0 \leq i, j, k \leq d-1} \frac{(q-q^{-1})^k}{[k]!} q^{k(k-1)/2+2k(i-j)-2ij} E_w^k K_w^i \otimes F_w^k K_w^j.$$

Since $sl_q(2) \xrightarrow{\rho} W$ and $(E^d, F^d, K^d - 1) \xrightarrow{\rho} I$, we get $\overline{U}_q \cong W / I$ under the induced morphism of ρ . Then W / I possesses also a R -matrix

$$R^w = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq d-1; 1 \leq i, j \leq d} \frac{(q-q^{-1})^k}{[k]!} q^{k(k-1)/2+2k(i-j)-2ij} E_w^k K_w^i \otimes F_w^k K_w^j.$$

So, we get

Theorem \overline{U}_q is a quasi-braided weak Hopf algebra with

$$R^w = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq d-1; 1 \leq i, j \leq d} \frac{(q-q^{-1})^k}{[k]!} q^{k(k-1)/2+2k(i-j)-2ij} E_w^k K_w^i \otimes F_w^k K_w^j$$

as its quasi- R -matrix, which is von Neumann's regular.

Because the identity of W / I is J_w , there exists the inverse \widehat{R}^w of R^w such that

$\widehat{R}^w R^w = R^w \widehat{R}^w = J_w$ (the identity). Then we have

$$R^w \widehat{R}^w R^w = R^w,$$

$$\widehat{R}^w R^w \widehat{R}^w = \widehat{R}^w,$$

which shows that this R -matrix is regular in \overline{U}_q . It obeys the following relations

$$\Delta_w^{op}(x) R^w = R^w \Delta_w(x)$$

for any $x \in W / I$ and

$$(\Delta_w \otimes \text{id})(R^w) = R_{13}^w R_{23}^w$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_w)(R^w) = R_{13}^w R_{12}^w$$

which are also satisfied in \overline{U}_q . Therefore R^w is a von Neumann's regular quasi- R -matrix of \overline{U}_q .

A further interesting work is to study our weak Hopf algebras through the similar objects and methods for the non-unital weak Hopf algebras [7] (their class and the class of weak Hopf algebras [2,3] are not included each other) and to find applications in the theory of quantum chain models and other relative areas.

[1] Abe E.: Hopf Algebras. Cambridge Univ. Press: Cambridge, 1980; Sweedler M.-E.: Hopf Algebras. Benjamin: New York, 1969.

[2] Li F.: Weak Hopf algebras and some new solutions of Yang-Baxter equation. J. Algebra **208**, 72--100 (1998); Li F.: Weak Hopf algebras and regular monoids. J. Math. Research and Exposition **19**, 325--331 (1999); Li F.: Solutions of Yang-Baxter equation in endomorphism semigroup and quasi-(co)braided almost bialgebras. Comm. Algebra **28**, 2253--2270 (2000).

[3] Li F., Duplij S.: Weak Hopf algebras and singular solutions of quantum Yang-Baxter equation. Commun. Math. Phys.-- **225**.- P. 191-217 (2002); Duplij S., Li F.: Regular solutions of quantum Yang-Baxter equation from weak Hopf algebras. Czech. J. Phys. **51** P. 1306-1311 (2001).

[4] Lawson M.V.: Inverse Semigroups: The Theory of Partial Symmetries. World Sci.: Singapore, 1998

[5] Duplij S. and Marcinek W.: Noninvertibility, semisupermanifolds and categories regularization. in Noncommutative Structures in Mathematics and Physics, (Duplij S. and Wess J., eds.) Kluwer: Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 125—140; Duplij S.: On semi-supermanifolds. Pure Math. Appl. **9**, 283--310 (1998); Duplij S.: Semisupermanifolds and semigroups. Krok: Kharkov, 2000.

[6] Kassel C.: Quantum Groups. Springer-Verlag: New York, 1995.

[7] Bohm G., Nill F., and Szlachanyi K.: Weak Hopf algebras I. Integral theory and C^* -structure. J. Algebra **221**, 385--438 (1999).