# Hennessy-Milner Theorems via Galois Connections

#### Barbara König Universität Duisburg-Essen

Based on joint work with Harsh Beohar, Sebastian Gurke, Karla Messing



- 2 General Framework
- 3 Bisimilarity
- (Decorated) Trace Equivalences
- 5 Trace Metrics



## Motivation

Hennessy-Milner theorems connect behavioural equivalences with modal logics. Given two states  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and formulas  $\varphi$ :

$$x_1 \sim x_2 \iff \forall \varphi \colon (x_1 \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow x_2 \models \varphi)$$

There is a metric analogue, where d is a pseudo-metric on the state space and formulas  $\varphi$  evaluate to real-valued predicates  $[\![\varphi]\!]: X \to [0, 1]$ 

$$d(x_1, x_2) = \bigvee_{\varphi} \left| \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(x_1) - \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(x_2) \right|$$

Given a behavioural equivalence, the aim is typically to determine a modal logic that characterizes this equivalence  $\sim$  linear-time/branching-time spectrum [van Glabbeek], coalgebraic modal logics

## Motivation



x, y are not bisimilar  $(x \not\sim y)$ 

They are distinguished by  $\varphi = \Diamond_a (\diamond_b true \land \diamond_c true)$  where  $x \models \varphi, y \not\models \varphi$ .

## Motivation

#### Our contributions:

- Hennessy-Milner theorems can be obtained from the fact that least fixpoints are preserved by left adjoints (of a Galois connection).
- Rather than starting with the definition of a behavioural equivalence, we go the other way and derive fixpoint equations for behavioural equivalences/metrics from the modal logics. (Including compositionality results.)
- We obtain (new) fixpoint equations for decorated trace metrics.

# Galois Connection

#### Definition

Let  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}$  be two complete lattices with order  $\sqsubseteq$ . A Galois connection from  $\mathbb{L}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  is a pair  $\alpha \dashv \gamma$  of monotone functions such that

$$\alpha(\ell) \sqsubseteq m \iff \ell \sqsubseteq \gamma(m),$$

for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{L}, m \in \mathbb{B}$ .



Intuition in our case:  $\mathbb{L}$  – logical universe,  $\mathbb{B}$  – behaviour universe

## General setting



#### Compatibility

Let  $\log, c \colon \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  be two monotone endo-functions on a lattice  $\mathbb{L}$ . We call  $\log c$ -compatible whenever  $\log \circ c \sqsubseteq c \circ \log$ .

#### Compatibility: concept borrowed from up-to techniques

#### Theorem

Let  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{B}$ ,  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{L}$  be a Galois connection and let

log:  $\mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ , beh:  $\mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$  (both monotone).

- Then  $\alpha \circ \log = beh \circ \alpha$  implies  $\alpha(\mu \log) = \mu beh$ .
- 2 Let c = γ ∘ α be the closure operator of the Galois connection and let beh = α ∘ log ∘ γ. Then c-compatibility of log implies α(μ log) = μ beh.
- $\mu$ : least fixpoint operator

This theorem is well-known and goes back to work of Cousot & Cousot on abstract interpretation.

We instantiate this framework and start with the simplest case: bisimilarity on labelled transition systems (with state space X).



Eq(X): set of all equivalences on X, ordered by  $\supseteq$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathcal{S}) &= \{ (x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid \forall S \in \mathcal{S} \colon (x_1 \in S \Leftrightarrow x_2 \in S) \} \\ \gamma(R) &= \{ S \subseteq X \mid \forall (x_1, x_2) \in R \colon (x_1 \in S \Leftrightarrow x_2 \in S) \} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\log \circ c \subseteq c \circ \log$  (where  $c = \gamma \circ \alpha$ ) and  $beh = \alpha \circ \log \circ \gamma$ :

$$\alpha(\mu \log) = \mu \operatorname{beh}$$

( $\mu$ : least fixpoint. Contravariance!) This is the Hennessy-Milner theorem (logical equivalence = behavioural equivalence).



• Logic function:

$$\log(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \diamondsuit_a [cl_f(\mathcal{S})]$$

 cl<sub>f</sub> closes a set of sets under all finite boolean operations (empty conjunction: true, empty disjunction: false)

• 
$$\diamondsuit_a(S) = \{x \in X \mid \exists y \in S \colon x \stackrel{a}{\to} y\}$$

• Closure:  $\mathbf{c} = \alpha \circ \gamma$  closes a set of sets under all boolean operators

log is compatible with c if transition system is *finitely* branching

• Behaviour function: for  $R \in Eq(X)$ 

$$beh(R) = \alpha(log(\gamma(R))) = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid \forall y_1 \colon x_1 \xrightarrow{a} y_1 \exists y_2 \colon x_2 \xrightarrow{a} y_2 \land (y_1, y_2) \in R \land \\ \forall y_2 \colon x_2 \xrightarrow{a} y_2 \exists y_1 \colon x_1 \xrightarrow{a} y_1 \land (y_1, y_2) \in R\}$$

# (Decorated) Trace Equivalences

#### Recipe

- **1** Define logic function log and Galois connection  $\alpha \dashv \gamma$ .
- Our Check compatibility with closure c = γ ∘ α induced by Galois connection, i.e., log ∘ c ⊆ c ∘ log.
- **③** Define behaviour function beh =  $\alpha \circ \log \circ \gamma$ .

We obtain:  $\alpha(\mu \log) = \mu$  beh

The recipe seems to work fine for bisimilarity. What about (decorated) trace equivalences?

# (Decorated) Trace Equivalences

We have to make the following modifications:

• Galois connection:

$$\log \left( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X)), \subseteq \right) \underbrace{\gamma}^{\alpha} \left( Eq(\mathcal{P}(X)), \supseteq \right)$$
 beh

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathcal{S}) &= \{ (X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \mid \\ \forall S \in \mathcal{S} \colon (X_1 \cap S \neq \emptyset \iff X_2 \cap S \neq \emptyset) \} \\ \gamma(R) &= \{ S \subseteq X \mid \forall (X_1, X_2) \in R \colon (X_1 \cap S \neq \emptyset \iff X_2 \cap S \neq \emptyset) \} \end{aligned}$$

# (Decorated) Trace Equivalences

- Induced closure  $c = \gamma \circ \alpha$ : closure under arbitrary unions.
- Logic function for trace equivalence (uses only  $\diamond$  and *true*):

$$\log(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \diamondsuit_a[\mathcal{S}] \cup \{X\}$$

Alternatively:  $\log' = \log \cup \log_0$  where  $\log_0$  provides predicates for characterizing completed traces, failures, readiness. (Compatibility follows by compositionality results.)

• For equivalences *R* that are congruences (wrt. union): derived behaviour function corresponds to the usual bisimilarity check on the determinization.

Trace equivalence can be generalized to trace metrics [de Alfaro, Faella, Stoelinga] [Fahrenberg, Legay] that measures the distance between the sets of traces originating from two states.

Useful for systems with quantitative information (probabilities, weights, etc.) where behavioural equivalence is too strict.

Here: we generalize the trace inclusion preorder to a directed trace metrics.

First step: Extend transition systems with a metric  $d_A: A \times A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  on the label set A.

#### Preliminaries on metrics

- DPMet(Y): set of all directed pseudo-metrics on Y, i.e., functions d: Y × Y → [0, 1] such that
  - d(y,y) = 0 for all  $y \in Y$
  - $d(y_1, y_3) \le d(y_1, y_2) + d(y_2, y_3)$  (triangle inequality) for all  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in Y$ .
  - not necessarily symmetric  $(d(y_1, y_2) = d(y_2, y_1))$
- Directed pseudometric space: set Y with a directed pseudo-metric d
- Non-expansive functions between pseudometric spaces  $(Y, d_Y), (Z, d_Z)$ : mapping  $f: Y \to Z$  with  $d_Z(f(y_1), f(y_2)) \le d_Y(y_1, y_2)$  for all  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ .

Addition and subtraction are modified to stay within [0,1]

#### Directed Hausdorff metric

Lifting a directed metric space (X, d) to  $(\mathcal{P}(X), d^{H})$ : let  $X_{1}, X_{2} \subseteq X$ :

$$d^{H}(X_{1}, X_{2}) = \max_{x_{1} \in X_{1}} \min_{x_{2} \in X_{2}} d(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

- For each element x<sub>1</sub> ∈ X<sub>1</sub> take the closest element x<sub>2</sub> ∈ X<sub>2</sub> and measure the distance d(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>)
- Take the maximum of all such distances.







#### Trace Distance of two states x, y

- Let  $Tr(x) \subseteq A^*$  be the set of finite traces of x.
- The distance of two traces  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  is defined as

• 
$$d_{\mathsf{Tr}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 1$$
 if  $|\sigma_1| \neq |\sigma_2|$ 

• 
$$d_{\mathrm{Tr}}(\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = 0$$

- $d_{\text{Tr}}(a_1\sigma'_1, a_2\sigma'_2) = \max\{d_A(a_1, a_2), d_{\text{Tr}}(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)\}$ (sup-metric)
- Given two states *x*, *y*:

$$d(x, y) = (d_{\mathsf{Tr}})^{\mathsf{H}}(\mathit{Tr}(x), \mathit{Tr}(y))$$



$$d(x,y) = (d_{\mathrm{Tr}})^{H} (\{00, 01\} \{\frac{1}{2}0, 01\})$$

Hence:  $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

We apply our receipe and use the following Galois connection:

$$\log \underbrace{\left(\mathcal{P}([0,1]^{X}),\subseteq\right)}_{\gamma} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}et(\mathcal{P}(X)),\leq\right)}_{\gamma}\right)}_{\gamma} beh$$

$$\alpha(\mathcal{F})(X_{1},X_{2}) = \bigvee_{f\in\mathcal{F}} (\tilde{f}(X_{1}) - \tilde{f}(X_{2}))$$

$$\gamma(d) = \{f \in [0,1]^{X} \mid \tilde{f} \text{ is non-expansive wrt. } d\}$$
where  $\tilde{f}: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0,1]$ 

$$(f: X \rightarrow [0,1])$$

$$\tilde{f}(X') = \bigvee_{x \in X'} f(x)$$

#### Logic function:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Modality:} & \bigcirc_a f(x) = \bigvee \{ \overline{D_a}(b) \wedge f(x') \mid x \xrightarrow{b} x' \} \\ \mathsf{where} \ a \in A, \ f \colon X \to [0,1], \ \overline{D_a}(b) = 1 - d_A(b,a). \\ & \mathsf{log}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \bigcirc_a [cl^{\mathsf{sh}}(\mathcal{F})] \cup \{1\}, \end{array}$$

where  $cl^{sh}$  closes a set of functions under constant shifts  $(f \mapsto f + c, f - c, c \in [0, 1]).$ 

The logic function is compatible with the closure of the Galois connection (shifts are needed for compatibility).

#### Completeness:

In order to convince ourselves that the logic is complete, we construct a distinguishing formula  $\varphi$ .

To obtain the trace distance of states x, y, take the trace  $a_1 \dots a_n \in Tr(x)$  of x that is farthest from any trace in Tr(y).

Define  $\varphi = \bigcirc_{a_1} \dots \bigcirc_{a_n} 1$ 

#### Fixpoint function/equation (special case): beh = $\alpha \circ \log \circ \gamma$



$$beh(d)(\{x\}, \{y_1, y_2\}) \\ = (d_A(a, b_1) \land d_A(a, b_2)) \lor (d_A(a, b_1) \land d(\{x'\}, \{y'_2\})) \\ \lor (d_A(a, b_2) \land d(\{x'\}, \{y'_2\})) \lor d(\{x'\}, \{y'_1, y'_2\})$$

This result depends on the fact that  $([0,1],\leq)$  is a distributive lattice.

# Further Results

#### We can also handle ...

- Preorders
- Behavioural metrics
- Decorated trace metrics (completed traces, readiness, failure, etc.)

on labelled transition systems respectively metric transition systems.

## Coalgebra & Fibrations

#### Future work: coalgebraic generalization in a fibrational setting.

#### Fibrations

- sets of (real-valued) predicates on X
- equivalences, preorders, (directed) metrics on X

Galois connection  $\rightsquigarrow$  fibred adjunction

# Conclusion

#### Related Work

- Fahrenberg, Legay, Thrane: Characterization of the metric linear-time/branching-time spectrum via games. Does not treat logics and fixpoint equations for trace metrics are different.
- Klin (e.g. in Klin's PhD thesis): different handling of the closure, does not treat behavioural metrics.
- Dual adjunction: functor on the "logic universe" characterizes the *syntax* of the logics rather than the *semantics*. Fibrational setup deviates from [Kupke, Rot].
- Approximating family [Komorida, Katsumata, Kupke, Rot, Hasuo]: related to our notion of compatibility.

## Conclusion

Link to the paper

https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.05407