OZeAN



Online Zeitschrift zur Antiken Numismatik

Issue 5 (2023), pp. 67–74

A Special Tetradrachm Series of Euthydemos I

by Julian Wünsch (ORCID-ID 0000-0002-3208-9842) and Klaus Grigo

DOI <u>10.17879/ozean-2023-5077</u>



Dieses Werk ist lizenziert unter einer Creative Commons Namensnennung 4.0 International Lizenz

Contact: Julian Wünsch, Eschenstr. 44, 85716 Unterschleißheim, e-mail: julian.wuensch@t-online.de. Klaus Grigo, An der Schalwiese 12, 44789 Bochum; e-mail: klaus-grigo@t-online.de

A Special Tetradrachm Series of Euthydemos I

Julian Wünsch and Klaus Grigo

Abstract: In this paper, tetradrachms of Euthydemos I of Bactria are discussed that differ from the regular coinage of the king: The most striking difference is the frontal glance of the seated Herakles depicted on the reverse. While other scholars have regarded these tetradrachms as posthumous, it is proposed that they were minted during Euthydemos' lifetime, possibly during the war with the Seleukid king Antiochos III.

Keywords: Bactria (http://nomisma.org/id/bactria), Graeco-Bactrian Kingdom (http://nomisma.org/id/bactrian kingdom), Euthydemos I (http://nomisma.org/id/euthydemus i bactria), Antiochos III (http://nomisma.org/id/antiochus_iii), Herakles (https://d-nb.info/gnd/118639552)

Zusammenfassung: In diesem Beitrag werden Tetradrachmen des Euthydemos I. von Baktrien behandelt, die sich von den regulären Münzen des Königs unterscheiden: Der auffälligste Unterschied ist der frontale Blick des auf dem Revers abgebildeten Herakles. Obwohl andere Forscher diese Tetradrachmen als posthum bezeichnet haben, wird vorgeschlagen, dass sie zu Euthydemos' Lebzeiten geprägt wurden, möglicherweise während des Krieges mit dem Seleukidenkönig Antiochos III.

Schlagwörter: Baktrien, Graeco-Baktrisches Reich, Euthydemos I., Antiochos III., Herakles

The Graeco-Bactrian Kingdom, covering the northern parts of present-day Afghanistan and southern Uzbekistan and Tajikistan, was founded by Diodotos I. The satrap of Bactria and Margiana gradually increased the independence of his rule and when his Seleukid overlords were weakened by the Third Syrian War (246–241 BC), he assumed the royal title¹. The Diodotid dynasty came to an end around 225 BC, when the founder's son, Diodotos II, was overthrown by Euthydemos I. Euthydemos was one of the most important Graeco-Bactrian kings: His greatest achievement, as narrated by Polybios², was the repelling of Antiochos III's invasion (208–206 BC) – this lead to the recognition of his status by the Seleukid monarch and paved the way for the subsequent expansion of the kingdom south of the Hindukush³. During his long rule, which lasted until c. 200 BC, Euthydemos I produced an abundant silver coinage: All of his tetradrachms depict Herakles, the main god of the Euthydemid dynasty, seated left on a rock. This image could have been influenced by tetradrachms of the Seleukid kings Antiochos I and II, which display a largely identical motive and were, inter alia, minted in Magnesia on the Sipylos, Euthydemos' possible hometown⁴. There is, however, a series of tetradrachms that differs from the regular silver coins of Euthydemos I in some aspects. Only few coins of this type have survived: Four specimens – in the collections of the Bibliothèque nationale de France (no. R 3681.30), the American Numismatic Society (SNG ANS, no. 180), Aman ur Rahman (Bopearachchi – Rahman 1995, no. 117) and Klaus Grigo (**fig. 1**) – have the monograms $\$ and $\$ al in the exergue, another example – sold by Classical Numismatic Group (**fig. 2**) – has $\$ and $\$ and $\$

- ¹ For the history of the Diodotids see Holt 1999 and Wünsch 2022, pp. 287–293.
- ² Polybios 10,49; 11,34.
- ³ An overview of the history of the successors of Euthydemos I is given by Wünsch 2022, pp. 296–305.
- ⁴ <u>SC I, no. 318</u>. Polybios 11,34,1 states that Euthydemos was a native of Magnesia, but gives no further details. On the basis of the Seleukid tetradrachms, Newell 1941, p. 275 assumes that Euthydemos I hailed from Magnesia on the Sipylos. This matter is, however, still disputed as Bernard 1985, pp. 131–133 argues for Magnesia on the Meander as Euthydemos' hometown, while Lerner 1999, p. 54 considers Magnesia in Thessaly.
- ⁵ The tetradrachm sold by Classical Numismatic Group was part of the Kuliab hoard and has been published by Bopearachchi 1999, p. 41 no. 61.



ال الله اللها الها اللها اللها اللها اللها الها اللها اللها اللها اللها اللها اللها اللها اللها اللها

Fig. 1: The unusual tetradrachm series, first variant







Fig. 2: The unusual tetradrachm series, second variant

Osmund Bopearachchi regards these tetradrachms as Sogdian imitations, noting the unusually large diameters of their flans (ranging from 31 to 34 mm)⁶. While it is true that such sizes are first attested under Demetrios I (c. 200-190 BC), the temporal distance should not be problematic if the tetradrachms were minted in the latter half of Euthydemos' reign. Olivier Bordeaux suggests that the series might have been issued by Eukratides I (c. 170-145 BC) because two of the monograms also appear on his coinage⁷. But against this speak the legend conventions on other Bactrian commemorative coins, which are discussed in more detail below. As the artistic quality, weight and fixed die axis (6 or 12 o'clock) are all compatible with official issues of Euthydemos I, the following contribution will further investigate the idea that the tetradrachms were minted during his lifetime.

Comparison with Euthydemos' coinage

First, we shall take a closer look at the iconographic details of the unusual tetradrachm series. As Bordeaux has observed, the diademed head on the obverse strongly resembles the portraits on some of Euthydemos' tetradrachms which he classified as Group I (**fig. 3**)⁸. The heads are nearly identical, except that the left diadem end is arranged differently. The reverse shows the bearded Herakles seated

- Bopearachchi 1991, p. 163 Series 25; SNG ANS, no. 180.
- Bordeaux 2018, p. 104. The monograms appear on two tetradrachm series, on which Eukratides I wears the Boeotian helmet adorned with bull's horn and ear (minted after his Indian campaign of 163/62 BC): Bopearachchi 1991, pp. 202-205 Series 6C (Δ I), 60 (Λ I).
- ⁸ Bordeaux 2018, p. 104.





Fig. 3: Tetradrachm of Euthydemos I, Bordeaux Group I1

left on a rock with the skin of the Nemean lion draped on it, resting the club in his right hand on a pile of stones. This motive is very similar to the standard reverse design of Euthydemos' precious metal coins. Yet there are some differences. The most notable is the placement of the hero's head: It looks directly at the user of the coin, whereas on the rest of Euthydemos' coinage it is turned to the left. This is not the first case of a god on a Bactrian issue being depicted with a frontal glance. That would be the Athena on the bronze coins of Diodotos I/II, but note that the goddess is standing frontally⁹. A frontal glance combined with a body partially or entirely turned in profile is an unusual sight. Apart from the tetradrachm series in discussion, it only occurs with the king on horseback, depicted on the drachms of Antimachos II (c. 168-165 BC)¹⁰, and with the second mounted Dioskour on Eukratides I's coinage¹¹.

Our group of tetradrachms depicts Herakles setting his club on a pile of five round rocks. On the precious metal coins that Euthydemos I struck between c. 225 and 208 BC, the club is also set on a pile of stones (Bordeaux Groups A1–H2); this was removed on the later series, where the hero rests his club on his right knee or thigh (Bordeaux Groups I1–K6). On the majority of the coins, the pile is formed by three larger rectangular rocks. There are, however, two series of tetradrachms which display several small round rocks (Bordeaux Groups E3, H2; figs. 4–5), resembling the depiction on the un-

usual tetradrachm series. The latter presents the rock on which Herakles is sitting as a throne-like structure, corresponding to the designs on Bordeaux Groups I1–K6; these coins also have the lion skin draped on the rock, which is absent on the earlier groups. On the regular series, Herakles rests his left hand on a ledge that is distinctly developed on the upper right corner of the block; this detail goes back to Groups H1–2 (**fig. 5**), the last to feature a naturally formed rock without lion skin. It is visible on all coins of Groups H to K – albeit less pronounced on the gold octadrachm (Group J1) –, but missing on the unusual tetradrachm series.

Comparison with later coins

Let us also consider the later iterations of Euthydemos' seated Herakles. On the commemorative tetradrachms issued by the Bactrian kings Agathokles (c. 185–178 BC) and Antimachos I (c. 178–170 BC), Herakles sits on a naturally formed rock without the lion skin draped on it, his left resting on a more or less pronounced ledge, the club set on a single rock behind his

¹¹ Bopearachchi 1991, pp. 199–214 <u>Series 1</u>; <u>Series 2</u>; <u>Series 4</u>; <u>Series 5</u>; <u>Series 6</u>; <u>Series 7</u>; <u>Series 8</u>; <u>Series 11</u>; <u>Series 12</u>; <u>Series 19</u>; <u>Series 20</u>.



⁹ Bopearachchi 1991, p. 152 <u>Series 12</u>, <u>Series 13</u>, and <u>Series 14</u> = Holt 1999, pp. 165–167 Series H.

¹⁰ Bopearachchi 1991, pp. 196 f. <u>Series 1</u>. For the dating of Antimachos II see Wünsch 2021, p. 126.



Fig. 4: Tetradrachm of Euthydemos I, Bordeaux Group E3



Fig. 5: Tetradrachm of Euthydemos I, Bordeaux Group H2

right knee (**fig. 6**)¹². A tetradrachm with the reverse legend ΕΥΘΥΔΗΜΟΥ ΜΕΓΑΛΟΥ (»of Euthydemos, the Great«) follows the same iconography, only the club is set on the hero's right knee. This issue could be an early prototype for Agathokles' commemorative series¹³. The motive is also repeated on square bronze coins, struck in the late second century BC by the Indo-Greek gueen Agathokleia and her son or husband Strato I. They show Herakles sitting on a big rock without lion skin, resting his club on his right knee (fig. 7)14. On the coins of the Indo-Scythian rulers Azes, Azilises, Spalahores and Spalagadames (mid first century BC), the diademed Herakles sits emphatically on a small rectangular rock and holds the club closely to his face¹⁵.

The iconographic analysis has shown that the unusual tetradrachm series fits quite well into the regular coinage of Euthydemos I: The stone pile is reminiscent of Bordeaux Groups E3 and H2, the lion skin finds parallels in Groups I to K and is notably absent from the posthumous utilizations of the type. Moreover, the commemorative coins repeating the types of Euthydemos I display different legends than our tetradrachm series: They always name Euthydemos with his epitheton *Theos* (or *Megas* on the prototype issue), but without the royal title (**fig. 6**); the commemorative tetradrachms also give the name of the issuing king, introduced with $BA\Sigma I \Lambda EYONTO\Sigma$ (»during the kingship of«). The fact that the unusual tetradrachms do not name any other minting authority except



² Glenn 2020, pp. 323–325, 337 f.

Bordeaux 2018, p. 103; Glenn 2020, pp. 140–143.

¹⁴ Bopearachchi 1991, pp. 251 f. Series 3, and Series 4.

¹⁵ Senior 2001, Types 59, 69, 83.



Fig. 6: Commemorative tetradrachm of Antimachos I, Bopearachchi Series 10A





Fig. 7: Bronze coin of Agathokleia and Strato I, Bopearachchi Series 3A

Euthydemos I, who is referred to as BASIΛΕΩΣ EYΘΥΔΗΜΟΥ like on his regular coinage, strongly suggests that they were minted during the king's lifetime. An important indication for dating the series is the close similarity to the portraits of Group I. Group I is a substantial coinage (Bordeaux records 147 examples) and was probably minted in the years before the war against Antiochos III (208–206 BC). In our opinion, the tetradrachm series could have been minted around the same time as Group I or, perhaps more likely, shortly after it.

The monograms

This proposed dating is further strengthened by the monograms. The significance of the monograms on Bactrian coins is still debated – while they could be representative of the officials responsible for the minting¹⁶, it is also plausible to interpet them as mint marks. All

known specimens of the unusual tetradrachm series have the monogram $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$, accompanied by ΔI (**fig. 1b**) or $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$ (**fig. 2b**). $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$ is, to our knowledge, not attested elswhere. It resembles the monogram $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$ from the gold and silver coins of Antiochos I and II, which Brian Kritt attributes to Aï Khanoum¹⁷. $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$ appears on other tetradrachms of Euthydemos I (Bordeaux Group B5), accompanied by the letters $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$ or $\stackrel{\frown}{\rightarrow}$ TI 18. The

Bordeaux 2018, p. 178 no. 154 (AI), nos. 155–157 (TI). Ais furthermore present on the silver coinage of Euthydemos II (Bopearachchi 1991, p. 168 Series 4C), Eukratides I (Bopearachchi 1991, p. 205 Series 6Q), Demetrios II (Bopearachchi 1991, p. 195 Series 1F, Series 1G, Series 2A) and Heliokles I (Bopearachchi 1991, p. 223 Series 1M, p. 224 Series 2F).



¹⁶ Bordeaux 2018, pp. 137–139; Glenn 2020, pp. 173–178.

¹⁷ Kritt 2016, p. 106 no. 21 (stater of Antiochos I), pp. 149–153 (staters and drachms of Antiochos II).



Fig. 8: Bronze coin of Euthydemos I, Bordeaux Group N1

first combination is of particular importance since the additional letters are the same that form \triangle – in the monogram they are placed on top of, not next to each other. It therefore seems possible that \triangle evolved from the letters on the Group B5 tetradrachm rather than the Seleukid monogram from Aï Khanoum. ΔI is also found on Bactrian gold staters and tetradrachms of Antiochos I19, but more important is its appearance on a bronze series of Euthydemos I (Bordeaux Group N1; fig. 8). These coins have even more in common with the unusual tetradrachms: Both have the monograms placed in the exergue, which is only rarely the case in the coinage of Euthydemos I²⁰, and they share a pelleted border on the obverse as well as the reverse. The bronzes with the ΔI monogram can be precisely dated: The two known examples are marked with a Seleukid anchor, which was applied in the immediate aftermath of the war with Antiochos III, i.e. in 206 BC²¹. The above described similarities make it plausible that the unusal tetradrachm series was minted prior to the ΔI bronze coins in the same mint (or under the same minting official).

An experiment in times of war?

With all this taken into account, the tetradrachm series seems to have been created between 210 and 206 BC. If this dating is accepted, there could be a connection to the war against Antiochos III: The Seleukid mon-

arch invaded Bactria in 208 BC in order to reintegrate it into his Empire, but this plan failed since he was unable to capture Euthydemos' capital. After a two-year siege of Baktra, Antiochos III had to agree to a peace treaty. He accepted the kingship of his adversary and even promised to marry one of his daughters to Euthydemos' son Demetrios 122. The gold octadrachm (Group J1) was likely struck on this occasion²³. If the unusal tetradrachms were minted during the conflict, this might give an explanation for their iconography: In times of war it was possibly considered necessary to address the users of the coins more directly this could have been attempted by turning Herakles' head from his usual profile to a frontal position. This change must have been immediately noticeable to the ancient viewer and by glancing at Herakles looking directly at them,

- ^{.9} Kritt 2016, p. 102 nos. 2–3, p. 108 no. 10.
- ²⁰ Apart from the bronzes, the monograms are placed in the exergue only on the silver coins of Bordeaux Groups B5, C2, F2/4.
- Dumke 2017 argues that the anchor mark could have been applied to make the bronzes an acceptable currency for the Seleukid soldiers, after their king had concluded peace with Euthydemos I. Interestingly, ΔI also appears on tetradrachms of Antiochos III and Seleukos IV (SC I, nos. 1109-1113 [»AI Mint«]; SC II, nos. 1326, 1327, 1328, and 1329 [»Formerly the ΔI Mint«]): As their production began c. 202 BC, the usage of the monogram was possibly inspired by the Bactrian bronze coins.
- ²² Wünsch 2022, pp. 293–296.
- ²³ Bordeaux 2018, pp. 108 f.



they could have felt a stronger connection to the hero and the royal dynasty he embodied. In any case, the tetradrachm series is best understood as an experimental issue intended to test changes to the established iconography of the reverse. Ultimately, however, Herakles' frontal glance did not find enough approval and was therefore not adopted for the following silver coinage of Euthydemos I.

Bibliography

Bernard 1985

P. Bernard, Fouilles d'Aï Khanoum IV: Les monnaies hors trésors. Questions d'histoire gréco-bactrienne, Mémoires de la délégation archéologique française en Afghanistan 28 (Paris 1985). https://www.world-cat.org/de/title/44152227

Bopearachchi 1991

O. Bopearachchi, Monnaies gréco-bactriennes et indo-grecques. Catalogue raisonné (Paris 1991). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/26054415

Bopearachchi 1999

O. Bopearachchi, La circulation et la production monétaires en Asie centrale et dans l'Inde du Nord-Ouest (avant et après la conquête d'Alexandre), Indologica Taurinensia 25, 1999, pp. 15–121

Bopearachchi – Rahman 1995

O. Bopearachchi – A. ur Rahman, Pre-Kushana Coins in Pakistan (Karachi 1995). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/935342004

Bordeaux 2018

O. Bordeaux, Les Grecs en Inde. Politiques et pratiques monétaires (IIIe s. a.C. – Ier s. p.C.), Numismatica Antiqua 8 (Bordeaux 2018). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/1038755161

Dumke 2017

G. Dumke, Anker gesetzt? Überlegungen zur Finanzierung der Anabasis Antiochos' III., in: Ch. Feyel – L. Graslin-Thomé (eds.), Antiochos III et l'Orient. Actes de la rencontre franco-allemande tenue à Nancy du 6 au 8 juin 2016, Études anciennes 67 (Nancy 2017) pp. 319–340. https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/1029854815

Glenn 2020

S. Glenn, Money and Power in Hellenistic Bactria, Numismatic Studies 43 (New York 2020). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/1196909916

Holt 1999

F. L. Holt, Thundering Zeus. The Making of Hellenistic Bactria, Hellenistic Culture and Society 32 (Berkeley 1999). https://www.worldcat.org/de/tit-le/940539146

Kritt 2016

B. Kritt, The Seleucid Mint of Aï Khanoum, Classical Numismatic Studies 9 (Lancaster PA 2016). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/953621100

Lerner 1999

J. D. Lerner, The Impact of Seleucid Decline on the Eastern Iranian Plateau. The Foundations of Arsacid Parthia and Graeco-Bactria, Historia Einzelschriften 123 (Stuttgart 1999). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/463241852

Newell 1941

E. T. Newell, The Coinage of the Western Seleucid Mints. From Seleucus I to Antiochus III, Numismatic Studies 4 (New York 1941). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/963495849

SC

A. Houghton – C. Lorber, Seleucid Coins. A Comprehensive Catalogue, Part I: Seleucus I through Antiochus III (New York 2002). https://worldcat.org/de/title/634127964

SC II

A. Houghton – C. Lorber – O. Hoover, Seleucid Coins. A Comprehensive Catalogue, Part II: Seleucus IV through Antiochus XIII (New York 2008). https://worldcat.org/de/title/829107745

Senior 2001

R. C. Senior, Indo-Scythian Coins and History II: The Illustrated Catalogue of Indo-Scythian and Indo-Parthian Coins (Lancaster, PA 2001). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/1074569094

SNG ANS

O. Bopearachchi, Sylloge Nummorum Graecorum. The Collection of the American Numismatic Society, Part 9: Graeco-Bactrian and Indo-Greek Coins (New York 1998). https://www.worldcat.org/de/title/468166075



Wünsch 2021

J. Wünsch, Die Feldzüge des Eukratides I. von Baktrien und der Kampf um seine Nachfolge, JNG 71, 2021, pp. 117–146

Wünsch 2022

J. Wünsch, Großmacht gegen lokale Machthaber. Die Herrschaftspraxis der Seleukiden an den Rändern ihres Reiches, Philippika 164 (Wiesbaden 2022). https://d-nb.info/1268798568

Picture Credits: Fig. 1: Collection of Klaus Grigo (photograph by Gorny & Mosch); fig. 2: <u>Classical Numismatic Group</u>, <u>Mail Bid Sale 75</u>, 23.05.2007, <u>lot 619</u>; figs. 3–7: Collection of Klaus Grigo (photographer Robert Dylka); fig. 8: <u>Bibliothèque nationale de France</u>, no. 1970.604. All coins are enlarged.

